



DELIVERABLE 6.4

Unity Preliminary Evaluation

Revision 1

Due Date: 30th April 2018

Date of submission: 16th April 2018

Lead Beneficiary of this deliverable: **Sheffield Hallam University**

Dissemination Level: PU

Project Title: Unity

Grant Agreement: 653729

Funding Scheme: Research and Innovation action – Safeguarding Secure Society

Duration Time: 36 months

Start date: 01/05/2015



Project funded by the European Commission within the H2020 Framework Programme

Document Summary Information

Authors and Contributors

Initials	Name	Organisation	Role
Raymond Brown	RB	SHU	Contributor
Richard James	RJ	SHU	Contributor
Mike Gunning	MG	SHU	Contributor
Helen Grantham	HG	SHU	Contributor
Babak Akhgar	BA	SHU	Contributor
David Fortune	DF	SHU	Contributor

Revision History

Revision	Date	Who	Comment
V1.0	28/02/2017	RB	Document created and populated.
V1.1	05/03/2018	RB	Document updated with pilots data collection.
V1.2	09/04/2018	RB	Document updated with Internal Reviewer comments

Quality Control

Role	Date	Who	Approved/Comment
Internal Reviewer	23/03/2018	Natasha Newton (Rinicom)	Approved
Internal Reviewer	27/03/2018	Laurence Marzell (Serco)	Approved

1 Executive Summary

The Unity vision is to strengthen the connection between the police and the diverse communities they serve to maximise the safety and security of all citizens. As part of that vision, the object of this report is to subject the Unity Instantiations to a preliminary evaluation, that is, tests in a controlled environment to ensure proper functioning of the several components across various dimensions (organisational, governance, workflows, technologies, ethical and legal, social and cultural, etc). Using the realisations of the Unity instantiations that have been developed in Task 6.3, each instantiation will be tested using controlled data in a controlled set of circumstances in order to identify missing components or requirements that will be required during the test bed. This task was conducted with active collaboration with end-users (including local police and community representatives) in order to ensure that their needs are being met by the instantiations, and in areas where they are not being met, the remaining barriers highlighted.

To achieve this objective, eight pilots were conducted. The first three pilots (phase 1 pilots) were held in Croatia, Estonia and Germany and carried out during the development phase of Unity. This was to ensure that from an early stage, the data collection and user requirements were taken into account, and incorporated into the fabric of the Unity Toolkit. The data and user requirements from phase 1 pilots were then fed into another five follow up pilots (phase 2 pilots), in Belgium, Finland, Bulgaria, Macedonia and United Kingdom (UK). The phase 2 pilots involved the testing of the Unity Toolkit including both the methodological approach and the technical tools.

Section 4, of the report describes the Unity evaluation methodology and how the results and findings from each pilot were utilised to influence subsequent pilots. This iterative approach ensured that the development of the Unity Toolkit was legally, ethically and operationally sound. Each pilot was also assessed against the 6 pillars of Community Policing (CP), which were developed in WP3. The 6 pillars being:

- Trust and Confidence Building
- Accountability
- Information Sharing and Communication
- Addressing Local Needs
- Collaboration
- Crime Prevention

It has to be emphasised that the aim of this preliminary evaluation process was not to compare and assess the pilot countries but encourage learning and feed the iterative development cycle of Unity. The assessment conducted as part of this report takes the evidence gleaned from the projects pilots and aligns them against the core principles of CP; the 6 pillars, in order to provide a commentary on the impact perceived by LEA's, community members and other stakeholders.